

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,409	03/24/2004	Tze Wan Pansy Chung	505 US	3858
	7590 09/20/2007 SANDERS, LLP		EXAMINER	
5200 BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
ATLANTA, G	LEE STREET, NE A 30308		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ		,	1772	
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/807,409	CHUNG ET AL.	CHUNG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		William P. Watkins III	1772				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing double. If NO period for reply is specified above, the failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (OM THE MAILING D If the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ate of this communication, the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statut three months after the mailin	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 21 J	lune 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is i	n condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance wit	n the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-53</u> is/are per	iding in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	owed.	•	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32, 46-53</u> is/are	rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Evamine	ar.		,			
, ,	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, •					
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐			3 (. , (. , (. , .)				
<u> </u>		ts have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of							
Copies of the certif							
application from the	e International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	·			
	·						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/807,409

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. The objection to the declaration given in section 1 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 19 April 2007 is withdrawn in view of the filing of an Application Data Sheet, which gives the correct address of Tze Wan Pansy Chung. As the declaration is no longer required to have this information, the initialed correction does not affect the substance of the declaration.
- 2. The rejection under 102/103 over Hisanaka et al. in section 6 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 19 April 2007 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments to the claims and arguments filed 21 June 2007.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,409

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 32 and 46-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Abuto et al. (U.S. 5,804,021) in view of Pelkie et al. (U.S. 2001/0008676 A1) further in view of Zhou et al. (U.S. 2004/0005835 A1) or Mishima et al. (U.S. 6,468,630 B1).

Abuto et al. teaches an elastic laminate used in diapers and other garments that has a web layer with slits that form elongated cells when the web is stretched in a direction normal to the slits and a second elastic layer that allows the first web to retract after it is stretched (abstract, Figure 2, col. 2, lines 40-60). Pelkie et al. teaches a film used in diapers that has elongated openings that allow ventilation and increased stretching in a direction normal to the long axis of the openings. Mishima et al. teaches the use of elastic strands to allow retraction of a corrugated web in a direction normal to the corrugations (abstract, Figure 2). Zhou et al. teaches elastic strands as an improvement over an elastic film in a laminate in order to allow retraction and expansion of the laminate in a specific direction (abstract, Figure 1).

The instant invention claims elongated openings in a web with strand segments that cross the openings in a direction normal to the major axis of the openings. It would have been

Art Unit: 1772

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used elongated openings as the slits of Abuto et al. in order to allow better ventilation as well as increased stretching in a direction normal to the major axis of the openings because of the teachings of Pelkie et al. It further would have been obvious to have replaced the elastic film of the laminate of Abuto et al. as modified above with extruded elastic strands in the desired direction of stretch in order to avoid a separate lamination step because of the teachings of Mishima et al. and Zhou et al. Some of the strands of the combination will cross the elongated openings of Abuto et al. as modified above and provide a retraction mechanism as claimed.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32, 46-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1772

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Art Unit: 1772

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WW/ww September 16, 2007

> WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER